

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
2 MJacobs@mofo.com  
3 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)  
AGonzález@mofo.com  
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
4 425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Tel: 415.268.7000 / Fax: 415.268.7522

5 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
6 kdunn@bsfllp.com  
7 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
hhume@bsfllp.com  
8 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington DC 20005  
9 Tel: 202.237.2727 / Fax: 202.237.6131

10 WILLIAM CARMODY (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com  
11 SHAWN RABIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
srabin@susmangodfrey.com  
12 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor  
13 New York, NY 10019-6023  
14 Tel: 212.336.8330 / Fax: 212.336.8340

15 Attorneys for Defendants  
16 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
and OTTOMOTTO LLC

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20 WAYMO LLC,

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

21 Plaintiff,

**DEFENDANTS UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
FILE UNDER SEAL THEIR  
RESPONSE TO WAYMO'S OFFER  
OF PROOF**

22 v.

23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

24 Defendants.

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (“Defendants”) submit this motion for an order to file under seal their Response to Waymo’s Offer of Proof. Specifically, Defendants request an order granting leave to file under seal the following confidential documents:

<b>Document</b>	<b>Portions to Be Filed Under Seal</b>	<b>Designating Party</b>
Response to Waymo’s Offer of Proof (“Response”)	Highlighted Portions	Defendants (Blue) Plaintiff (Green)
Exhibit 2	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 3	Green highlights	Plaintiff
Exhibit 5	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 6	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 7	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 8	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 10	Entire document	Plaintiff
Exhibit 17	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 18	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 22	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 24	Entire document	Plaintiff
Exhibit 25	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 27	Blue highlights	Defendants
Exhibit 28	Entire document	Collier Law Firm Defendants (blue highlights)
Exhibit 29	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 31	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 33	Blue highlights	Defendants

1	Exhibit 37	Blue highlights	Defendants
2	Exhibits 38-49	Entire documents	Plaintiff
3	Exhibit 50	Entire document	Defendants
4	Exhibit 56	Blue highlights	Defendants
5	Exhibits 55, 57, 59	Entire documents	Plaintiff
6	Exhibits 65-66	Entire document	Defendants
7	Exhibit 68	Entire document	Defendants
8	Exhibit 69	Entire document	Defendants
9	Exhibit 71	Entire document	Plaintiff
10	Exhibit 72	Blue highlights	Defendants
11	Response to Waymo's Appendix A	Blue highlights	Defendants

The blue highlights on page 146 of Exhibit 2 and page 173 of Exhibit 5 contain references to confidential third party vendors or consultants Uber used to conduct analysis. Defendants request this information be sealed to protect this confidential business relationship from disclosure and these third party vendors or consultants from possible harassment. (Declaration of Michelle Yang in Support of Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal ("Yang Decl.") ¶ 3.)

The blue highlights on pages 46, 58, 81, and 172 of Exhibit 5, page 126 of Exhibit 7, page 240 of Exhibit 22, and the entireties of Exhibits 29, 31, 50, 65, 66, 68, and 69 contain highly confidential information concerning Defendants' self-driving industry business strategy, the identity of certain third party competitors, research objectives, research results, financial numbers regarding research budgets, and confidential business negotiations. This information has been maintained as confidential. Disclosure of this information would allow competitors to understand Uber's business strategy in the self-driving industry, including what information Uber possesses

1 and considers, such that competitors could tailor their own business strategy to the detriment of  
 2 Uber's competitive standing. (Yang Decl. ¶ 4.)

3         The blue highlights on page 16 of the Response, page 196 of Exhibit 5, pages 65 and  
 4 125-126 of Exhibit 8, pages 135, 143, 148, 240, and 313 of Exhibit 22, and page 4 of Exhibit 28  
 5 contain references to the same type of confidential material for which the Court granted sealing in  
 6 its November 29, 2017 Order and December 13, 2017 Order, which are reflected in the public  
 7 refiling of Exhibit B to Dkt. 2307-2 at Dkt. 2401-2. (Dkt. 2307-2; Dkt. 2382; Dkt. 2401-2.)  
 8 These blue highlights in all these exhibits similarly contain information that implicates the safety,  
 9 privacy, and reputational interests of former and current Uber employees and vendors, as well as  
 10 various third-party individuals and entities. (Yang Decl. ¶ 5.)

11         The blue highlights on pages iii and 63-64 of the Response, pages 154-165 of Exhibit 6,  
 12 pages 245-290 of Exhibit 18, and the Response to Waymo's Appendix A contain highly  
 13 confidential information relating to a data governance program that was never implemented at  
 14 Uber but which was intended to effectively manage the data, documents, and email generated by  
 15 the company and to identify what information needed to be retained. This information has been  
 16 maintained as confidential. Public disclosure of this information would reveal internal  
 17 technology and confidential business procedures, to the detriment of Uber's competitive standing.  
 18 (Yang Decl. ¶ 6.)

19         The blue highlights on page 235 of Exhibit 17, pages 169, 170, 204, 205, and 207 of  
 20 Exhibit 18, and page 226 of Exhibit 27 contain current and former employee names and identities,  
 21 and Uber requests that this information be kept confidential in order to protect the privacy of  
 22 individuals currently or formerly at a company that is subject of extensive media coverage, in  
 23 order to protect them from harm or harassment. Uber's request is narrowly tailored to names and  
 24 identities that have not been made public with respect to this subject matter. (Yang Decl. ¶ 7.)

25         The blue highlights on pages 237-241 of Exhibit 17, and page 346 of Exhibit 27 contain  
 26 highly confidential information regarding internal communications among company employees  
 27 relating to internal investigations. Similar to the information sealed in accordance with the  
 28 Court's November 29, 2017 and December 13, 2017 Orders, these exhibits contain information

1 that could implicate the safety, privacy, and reputational interests of former and current Uber  
 2 employees. Defendants request sealing of this information to protect these interests. (Yang Decl.  
 3 ¶ 8.)

4 The blue highlights on pages 38 and 63-64 of the Response, pages 256-290 of Exhibit 18  
 5 and blue highlights of Exhibit 72 contain confidential information regarding certain confidential  
 6 proceedings between Uber and certain former employees. The details regarding these  
 7 proceedings have been maintained as confidential by Uber. Uber requests that this information be  
 8 kept sealed in accordance with agreements between parties to these proceedings, and in order to  
 9 protect the privacy of these former employees. (Yang Decl. ¶ 9.)

10 The blue highlights on page 374 of Exhibit 2, blue highlights in Exhibit 33, blue  
 11 highlights in Exhibit 37, and parts of Exhibits 29, 33, 65, 66, 68, and 69 contain email addresses  
 12 and/or telephone numbers, including personal telephone numbers, of current and former Uber  
 13 employees. The blue highlights of Exhibit 25 contain an address of a company that is not public  
 14 knowledge. Uber requests that this contact information be kept confidential in order to protect  
 15 current and former Uber employees from harm or harassment. (Yang Decl. ¶ 10.)

16 The blue highlights of Exhibit 56 contain highly confidential information regarding  
 17 references to third party vendors, including those under NDAs with Uber. Defendants request  
 18 this information be kept sealed in order to protect the confidentiality of these business  
 19 relationships. (Yang Decl. ¶ 11.)

20 The entirety of Exhibit 28 was designated confidential by the Collier Law Firm. The  
 21 green-highlighted portions of the Response and Exhibit 3, and the entireties of Exhibits 10, 24,  
 22 38-49, 55, 57, 59, and 71 contain information that has been designated “Highly Confidential –  
 23 Attorneys’ Eyes Only” or “Confidential” by Waymo in accordance with the Patent Local Rule 2-  
 24 2 Interim Model Protective Order (“Protective Order”), which the parties have agreed governs  
 25 this case (Transcript of 3/16/2017 Hearing, page 6). Defendants file all this material under seal in  
 26 accordance with Paragraph 14.4 of the Protective Order. (Yang Decl. ¶ 12.)

27 Defendants do not believe that the green-highlighted portions of page 15-16 of the  
 28 Response and the entireties of Exhibits 10, 24, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 59, and 71 merit sealing, but

1 Defendants file this material under seal in order to provide Waymo the opportunity to justify  
2 sealing pursuant to Local Rule 79-5. (Yang Decl. ¶ 13.)

3 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Defendants will lodge with the Clerk the  
4 documents at issue, with accompanying chamber copies.

5 Defendants served Waymo with this Administrative Motion to File Documents Under  
6 Seal on January 19, 2018.

7 For the foregoing reasons, Defendants request that the Court enter the accompanying  
8 Proposed Order granting Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal and  
9 designate the service copies of these documents as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –  
10 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

11

12 Dated: January 19, 2018

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

13

14

By: /s/ Arturo J. González  
Arturo J. González

15

16

Attorneys for Defendants  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and  
OTTOMOTTO LLC

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28